REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated July 16, 2004.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings because of informalities. Red-lined photocopies of the drawings for FIGS. 1, 3A and 4A are submitted for the Examiner's approval.

Further, the Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities.

The disclosure has been corrected to overcome this objection.

Moreover, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Furthermore, the Examiner has stated that claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims 1-5 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 6-10 which are rewritten from claims 1-5 to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claims clearly and distinctly patentably define over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for examination, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Leong Chida

SIGNATURE

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

November 16, 2004